REMARKS

This Amendment is responsive to the Office Action mailed on December 22, 2006.

Claims 1-15 are pending before the amendment. Claim 15 has been amended. In view of the

foregoing amendments, as well as the following remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that this

application is in complete condition for allowance and request reconsideration of the application

in this regard.

Rejections of Claims under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd Paragraph

Claim 15 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd Paragraph. Applicants have

amended claim 15 to remedy a typographical error in the claim dependency. Applicants request

that the Examiner withdraw the rejection.

Rejections of Claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

Claims 1-11 and 13-15 over Wasshuber

Claims 1-11 and 13-15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by U.S.

Pub. No. 2003/0111699 to Wasshuber (hereinafter Wasshuber). The Examiner contends that

Wasshuber shows all elements of the rejected claims. Applicants respectfully disagree for the

reasons set forth below.

Wasshuber fails to disclose "an island of a semiconductor material" and "an insulating

layer disposed between said island and said handle wafer," as set forth in Applicants' claim 1.

Wasshuber discloses an implanted region (512) formed by implanting "a desired species (e.g.,

such as carbon, germanium, oxygen, or the like)" into the semiconductor material of the

substrate (514) to introduce tensile or compressive stress that is transferred to a channel region

(524). See Paragraphs [0035], [0042]. However, Wasshuber fails to disclose that the implanted

region (512) constitutes an insulating layer. In particular, Wasshuber fails to disclose that the

Page 5 of 10 Serial No. 10/814,482 semiconductor material in the implanted region (512) is modified by the implanted species such

that the semiconductor material in region (512) is somehow transformed into an insulator. A

person having ordinary skill in the art understands that an insulator has significantly different

electrical properties than a semiconductor material.

In order for a reference to anticipate the invention in a claim, the reference must teach

each and every element in the precise arrangement set forth in the claim. See MPEP § 2131. If

the reference fails to teach even one of the claimed elements, the reference does not and cannot

anticipate the claimed invention. Because Wasshuber fails to disclose "an insulating layer

disposed between said island and said handle wafer," Wasshuber fails to anticipate claim 1.

Consequently, Applicants request that the Examiner withdraw the rejection.

Claim 1 is patentable for additional reasons. Specifically, assuming arguendo that the

implanted region (512) constituted an insulating layer as alleged by the Examiner but disputed by

the Applicants, Wasshuber fails to disclose "said insulating layer containing a thickened region

underlying said strained region." Wasshuber fails to disclose that the insulating layer identified

by the Examiner, that is implanted region (512), has any portion that has a different thickness

than any other portion. Instead, Wasshuber merely discloses that the presence of the implanted

species changes "the lattice structure of the silicon" in the implanted region (512) by volumetric

expansion or contraction. See, e.g., Paragraph [0021]. Wasshuber fails to disclose that any

portion of the implanted region (512) expands or contracts by a different amount than any other

portion of the region (512).

In the preceding remarks, Applicants have established that Wasshuber fails to disclose

"said insulating layer containing a thickened region underlying said strained region." It follows

logically that Wasshuber fails to disclose "said thickened region transferring tensile stress to said

strained regions," as also set forth in Applicants' claim 1. Instead, Wasshuber discloses that the

implanted region (512) of the <u>semiconductor</u> substrate (514) is modified by expansion for

Page 6 of 10 Serial No. 10/814,482 transferring the tensile stress to the implanted region (512). A person having ordinary skill in the

art would have understood that the <u>semiconductor</u> substrate (514), which is expanded by the

implanted region (512) to transfer stress to the channel region (524), is not an insulating layer

with a thickened region.

Wasshuber also fails to disclose "said insulating layer electrically isolating said island of

said semiconductor material from said handle wafer," as also set forth in Applicants' claim 1.

Wasshuber fails to disclose that the channel region (524), which the Examiner has identified as

the claimed "island," is electrically isolated from the substrate (514). Furthermore, Figure 22 of

Wasshuber reasonably discloses and suggests to one of ordinary skill in the art that gaps

comprising semiconductor material of substrate (514) are disposed between the implanted region

(512) and the isolation structures (535a, 535b). Consequently, Wasshuber does not disclose that

the substrate (514) identified by the Examiner as the claimed "handle wafer" and channel region

(524) identified by the Examiner as the claimed "island" are electrically isolated from each other,

as expressly required by Applicants' claim 1.

Because of these additional deficiencies in the disclosure of Wasshuber, claim 1 is not

anticipated by Wasshuber for at least these additional reasons. Hence, Applicants request that

the rejection be withdrawn.

Wasshuber fails to contain any suggestion to modify the disclosure to remedy the various

deficiencies. Because claims 2-11 and 13-15 depend from claim 1, Applicants submit that these

dependent claims are also patentable for at least the same reasons as claim 1. Furthermore, each

of these dependent claims recites a unique combination of elements not disclosed or suggested

by Wasshuber.

Page 7 of 10

Claims 1-4, 6-12, 14, and 15 over Yeo

Claims 1-4, 6-12, 14, and 15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0150042 to Yeo et al. (hereinafter *Yeo*). The Examiner contends that *Yeo* shows all elements of the rejected claims. Applicants respectfully disagree for the reasons set forth below.

Yeo fails to disclose "said insulating layer containing a thickened region underlying said strained region," as set forth in Applicants' claim 1. In particular, Yeo fails to disclose that the insulating layer (54) identified by the Examiner has any portion with a different thickness than any other portion. In order for a reference to anticipate the invention in a claim, the reference must teach each and every element in the precise arrangement set forth in the claim. See MPEP § 2131. If the reference fails to teach even one of the claimed elements, the reference does not and cannot anticipate the claimed invention. Because Yeo fails to disclose "said insulating layer containing a thickened region underlying said strained region," Yeo fails to anticipate claim 1. Consequently, Applicants request that the Examiner withdraw the rejection.

Claim 1 is patentable for additional reasons. In the preceding remarks, Applicants have established that *Yeo* fails to disclose "said insulating layer containing a thickened region underlying said strained region." It follows that *Yeo* fails to disclose "said thickened region transferring tensile stress to said strained region," as also set forth in Applicants' claim 1. Instead, *Yeo* merely discloses that a strained silicon layer (56) is provided on the insulating layer (54). *Yeo* does <u>not</u> disclose that the insulating layer (54) transfers stress in any manner or by any mechanism to the strained silicon layer (56).

In fact, Yeo discloses in Paragraph [0031] that:

The thickness of the strained silicon layer ranges from 10 angstroms to 500 angstroms which may be formed by a layer transfer technique. An example of a layer transfer technique is a wafer bonding step followed by a wafer separation step. In the wafer bonding step, a donor wafer comprising a strained silicon layer overlying a relaxed

silicon-germanium layer is bonded to a target wafer comprising a silicon oxide layer overlying a silicon substrate, such that the strained silicon

layer is in atomic contact with the silicon oxide layer. In the subsequent wafer separation step, the strained silicon layer is separated from the

donor wafer so that a new final wafer is formed comprising a strained silicon layer overlying a silicon oxide layer which is (sic) turn overlies a

silicon substrate.

Consequently, Yeo discloses in Paragraph [0031] that the silicon layer (56) is strained before

layer (56) is bonded by a "layer transfer technique" to the insulating layer (54) of "silicon oxide"

on a "target wafer." Instead, Paragraph [0031] of Yeo discloses that the silicon layer (56) is

strained when deposited on a "relaxed silicon-germanium layer" on a "donor wafer" and before

the silicon layer (56) is bonded to the insulating layer (54). Because Yeo fails to disclose "said

thickened region transferring tensile stress to said strained region," Yeo does not anticipate claim

1. Consequently, for at least this additional reason, Applicants request that the rejection be

withdrawn.

Yeo fails to contain any suggestion to modify the disclosure to remedy the various

deficiencies. Because claims 2-4, 6-12, 14, and 15 depend from claim 1, Applicants submit that

these dependent claims are also patentable for at least the same reasons as claim 1. Furthermore,

each of these dependent claims recites a unique combination of elements not disclosed or

suggested by Yeo.

Conclusion

Applicants have made a bona fide effort to respond to each and every requirement set

forth in the Office Action. In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, this application is

submitted to be in complete condition for allowance and, accordingly, a timely notice of

allowance to this effect is earnestly solicited. In the event that any issues remain outstanding, the

Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned to expedite issuance of this application.

Page 9 of 10 Serial No. 10/814,482

WH&E IBM/293

Applicants do not believe fees are dues in connection with filing this communication. If, however, any fees are necessary as a result of this communication, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any under-payment or fees associated with this communication or credit any over-payment to Deposit Account No. 23-3000.

Respectfully submitted,

March 15, 2007

Date

/William R. Allen/

William R. Allen, Ph.D. Reg. No. 48,389 WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, L.L.P. 2700 Carew Tower 441 Vine Street

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 Telephone: (513) 241-2324 Facsimile: (513) 421-7269